SwissAdmin: A multilingual tagged parallel corpus of press releases

Yves Scherrer, Luka Nerima, Lorenza Russo, Maria Ivanova, Eric Wehrli

LATL-CUI, University of Geneva, 7 route de Drize, Carouge, Switzerland {yves.scherrer, luka.nerima, lorenza.russo, maria.ivanova, eric.wehrli}@unige.ch

Abstract

SwissAdmin is a new multilingual corpus of press releases from the Swiss Federal Administration, available in German, French, Italian and English. We provide SwissAdmin in three versions: (i) plain texts of approximately 6 to 8 million words per language; (ii) sentence-aligned bilingual texts for each language pair; (iii) a part-of-speech-tagged version consisting of annotations in both the Universal tagset and the richer Fips tagset, along with grammatical functions, verb valencies and collocations.

The SwissAdmin corpus is freely available at www.latl.unige.ch/swissadmin.

Keywords: multilingual corpus, sentence alignment, POS-tagging, collocations

1. Introduction

In this paper, we present a part-of-speech tagged parallel corpus of press releases from the Swiss Federal Administration. The press releases are available in the three official main languages of Switzerland (German, French and Italian) and partially in English.

After presenting the data source (Section 2.), we describe the preprocessing steps applied to the raw data as well as the sentence alignment process (Section 3.). In Section 4., we present the annotated version of the SwissAdmin corpus containing part-of-speech tags, lemmas, grammatical functions, verb valencies and collocations. We conclude by comparing our corpus to other similar resources.

2. The SwissAdmin corpus

The SwissAdmin corpus is a new language resource for the three official languages of Switzerland: German, French and Italian. About 20% of the texts are also available in English. It takes the form of a quadrilingual corpus consisting of press releases from the Swiss Federal Administration. Its web site http://www.news.admin.ch provides archives of the press releases since 1998.

The web site aggregates press releases from the Federal Chancellery and various federal departments and offices. The documents contain news items concerning political matters. They are intended for a large audience and do not contain large amounts of specialized language. In practice, documents are written in one language and then translated to the other languages by the federal translation service. This ensures a high quality of the translated texts, but unfortunately the original language cannot be recovered from the publicly available data. We assume however that the original language of the majority of texts is German, and that none of the texts has English as original language.

Year	DE	FR	IT	EN
2013	2082	2073	1932	615
2012	2163	2140	1981	563
2011	2100	2077	1887	538
2010	2178	2128	1932	525
2009	2286	2236	1964	491
2008	2204	2178	1926	409
2007	2133	2064	1794	289
2006	1968	1937	1735	259
2005	1085	1060	920	82
2004	1072	1052	866	75
2003	1082	1049	822	101
2002	761	724	530	65
2001	538	439	303	50
2000	570	550	340	43
1999	386	372	228	19
1998	136	134	46	1
1997	47	42	22	1
Total	22 791	22 255	19 228	4126
Words	6.6M	8.2M	6.6M	1.3M

Table 1: Number of press releases (documents) per language, after validation by the language identification tool. The last row shows the total number of words per language.

We provide SwissAdmin in three versions: (i) plain text files that have been preprocessed and cleaned, but not annotated; (ii) sentence-alignment files for each language pair; (iii) text files annotated with POS-tags, using both the Universal tagset and the richer Fips tagset. The annotations also contain grammatical functions, valency information for verbs, as well as collocations (cf. Figure 1).

3. Preprocessing and sentence alignment

The corpus is constituted as follows:

• All documents are downloaded, and plain text is extracted from the HTML files using the *BeautifulSoup* library for Python.

¹The archive of older press releases may be found at http://www.admin.ch/cp, but the different language versions are not linked, which makes the alignment process difficult. For the moment, we do not take into account this additional resource.

Year	DE-FR	DE-IT	FR-IT	DE-EN	FR-EN	IT-EN
2013	27 504	24 695	24 262	7 524	7 3 2 0	6 3 9 7
2012	27 021	23 966	23 682	6 261	6 0 7 9	5 500
2011	27 612	24 370	24 265	6 136	6 084	5 4 1 1
2010	29 385	25 938	25 589	6 246	6 131	5 734
2009	29 826	25 224	25 034	5 925	5712	5 4 2 6
2008	29 453	25 271	25 028	5 203	5 083	4 603
2007	26 487	22 636	22 555	4 014	3 798	3 306
2006	24 220	21 604	21 512	3 263	3 2 1 8	2 9 3 5
2005	14 054	12414	12 181	1 156	1 131	1 068
2004	14 132	11 663	11 511	945	951	887
2003	13 769	10 929	10741	1 263	1 258	974
2002	10 044	7 454	7 300	784	752	675
2001	6 5 7 4	4 653	4 548	415	380	372
2000	8 452	5 232	5 137	446	432	386
1999	5 566	3 617	3 544	203	187	140
1998	2 185	876	892	26	25	25
1997	755	399	401	31	0	0
Total	297 039	250 941	248 182	49 841	48 541	43 839

Table 2: Numbers of aligned sentences per language pair, after all cleaning and preprocessing steps.

- The different language versions of the documents are aligned; this is done by looking at the ID number that is shared by the different language versions of a press release.
- The text files are then cleaned up: empty lines are deleted, as well as paragraphs that contain less than 20 words. Such short paragraphs are mainly list items, addresses and disclaimers that we prefer to exclude from the final corpus.
- Moreover, we use a language identifier to guess the language of the file. If the guess does not match with the language indicated in the file name, the file is skipped.

The raw version of SwissAdmin corresponds to the result of these processing steps. Table 1 shows the number of documents per year and language.

The second version of SwissAdmin contains sentencealigned data for each language pair. Sentence alignment was performed using Hunalign (Varga et al., 2005). As a preliminary step for sentence alignment, the texts had to be split in sentences. This was done with a specific tool provided with the Moses toolkit (Koehn et al., 2007). While this tool already contains lists of non-sentence-breaking prefixes (like "Mr." or "i.e.") for German and English, we created similar lists for French and Italian on the basis of abbreviations included in the Fips lexicon. The statistics of the sentence-aligned version are given in Table 2.

4. Annotation

In order to provide a suitable corpus for cross-linguistic studies, for development of NLP tools and in particular for the training of statistical systems, we also offer an annotated version of the corpus. While there are already many parallel corpora, only few of them are available for language pairs such as German–French or German–Italian, as claimed by Göhring and Volk (2011).

The annotation was performed automatically by the Fips parser (Wehrli, 2007; Wehrli and Nerima, 2014) used here as a POS tagger (henceforth referred to as the Fips tagger)². The annotation includes lexical and morpho-syntactic information, as well as collocations. Two examples of annotated English sentences are given in Figure 1 below. For each token, the following information is displayed, spread over seven columns:

- 1. The **orthographical form** (token). Notice that Fips may group together words that form complex lexical units, for instance French compound nouns such as *Conseil fédéral* ("Federal Council") or *pomme de terre* ("potato"), complex conjunctions such as *as soon as*, fixed adverbial phrases such as *by and large*, or the German preposition *je nach* ("according to"). On the other hand, Fips may treat single words as multiple tokens. For instance, German compounds are decomposed, so that *Medaillengewinner* ("medal winner") will be presented as two tokens (*Medaillen* and *Gewinner*, similarly *Gebärdensprache* ("sign language") is represented as *Gebärden* ("hand sign") and *Sprache* ("language").³
- 2. The **POS** tag in Universal Tagset format (Petrov et al., 2012), i.e., one of the following twelve POS tags:

²The Fips tagger performs a complete syntactic analysis of the input document, using the whole grammar of the Fips system, but outputs results in a word-by-word manner without constituent information.

³In other words, the tokenisation process adopted here differs in granularity from the one adopted by standard POS taggers. It considers a token to be a linguistically significant lexical unit rather than a sequence of characters between two separators.

NOUN, VERB, ADJ, ADV, PRON, DET, ADP (adpositions, i.e., prepositions and postpositions), NUM (numerals), CONJ, PRT (particles), '.' (punctuation) and X (other).

- 3. The **POS** tag in the richer Fips format, which includes morphological information such as tense and mode, agreement features such as gender, number, person and case, as well as language-specific tags such as the infinitival marker *to*, or the possessive marker *'s* in English, or clitic pronouns in Italian and French. Each tag consists of a category, optionally followed by a type and agreement features. For instance, the tag NOUN-COM-PLU designates a plural common noun, while VERB-AUX-IND-PRE-3-PLU indicates an auxiliary verb in third person plural indicative present. A complete list of the tags is available on the corpus website.
- 4. The **lemma**, which is the citation form associated with the token. Notice that the lemma includes the particle in the case of phrasal verbs in German and English.
- 5. The main grammatical functions are given, associated with the highest node of a constituent (for instance, for a noun phrase, the grammatical function will appear with the determiner, if there is one, otherwise with the noun). The grammatical function labels are SUBJ for subject, DO for direct object, IO for indirect object, PrepO for prepositional object, and ADJUNCT.
- 6. Each verb is annotated with **valency** information, which takes the form of a list of arguments, specifying the grammatical function (using the same labels as above) and the semantic head of the constituent. For instance, in the second example of Figure 1, the verb *draw* has a valency table with two arguments (*DO:conclusions* and *PrepO:disasters*). This means that the constituent *critical conclusions*, which is the grammatical subject of the sentence, is analyzed as the (deep) direct object of the verb, due to the passive construction. The second argument of the verb is the prepositional object *natural disasters*, with *disasters* as (semantic) head.
- 7. The **collocation**, if the word belongs to a collocation detected by the tagger (see below).

4.1. Collocations

Collocational knowledge is widely recognized as a useful information for a variety of NLP applications. This is why we decided to add that knowledge to the SwissAdmin corpus⁴. The collocation detection process developed for Fips has been described in several publications (Seretan, 2011;

	DE	FR	IT	EN
Collocations	8 594	44 673	26,578	19 162
Analyzed tokens	1.1M	1.5M	2.9M	1.3M
Coll./100 tokens	0.76	2.89	0.91	1.36

Table 3: Coverage of collocations. The table shows the absolute number of identified collocations, the number of tokens of the corpus fragment used to identify them, as well as the number of collocations per 100 tokens.

	DE	FR	IT	EN
Sentences	164	2 343	434	123
Tokens	3 534	60 918	11 254	3 046
Accuracy	96.1%	98.4%	97.4%	97.2%

Table 4: Manual evaluation of Fips tagging accuracy, measured on the universal tagset. The first two rows give details about the corpus fragment used for the evaluation.

Wehrli et al., 2010; Wehrli and Nerima, 2013). Suffice it to say here that this procedure can identify "known" collocations, i.e. collocations that have been lexicalized, even when their constituents are far apart or in non-canonical order, due to grammatical processes such as passivization, relativization, fronting, etc. The collocation detection procedure can also recognize a collocation, say of the verbobject type, when the object has been pronominalized. For instance, *take it* will count as an occurrence of the collocation *to take a break* when *it* refers to *break*, as discussed in detail in Wehrli and Nerima (2013).

Given the fact that only lexicalized collocations can be identified, the number of detected collocations crucially depends on the number of collocations in our database for a given language. Table 3 shows the number of collocations detected in a relatively large fragment of the corpus. The figures clearly confirm the importance of collocations, with nearly three collocations in every hundred words in French, for instance. Finally, it should be noticed that contrary to current practice, phrasal verbs in English and German were not counted as collocations; rather, they are treated as specialized lexeme forms in our database.

4.2. Evaluation

In order to validate the performance of the Fips tagger, we manually evaluated the POS tags on small excerpts of the SwissAdmin corpus. The accuracy was measured on all tokens, including punctuation symbols and unknown words, on the basis of the Universal Tagset. The evaluation was done by a native speaker of each language. The tagging accuracy for each language is shown in Table 4. The differences between languages reflect the current state of the respective grammars. Even if it is difficult to compare the results due to different tagging architectures and corpora used, the scores show state-of-the-art performance with re-

⁴We assume here a fairly broad definition of collocation, as an arbitrary and conventional combination of two syntactically related lexical units (not counting function words), such as adjective-noun (*heavy smoker*), verb-direct object (*to take a break*), noun-preposition-noun (*flag of convenience*), etc. Notice that in our definition, a lexical unit can be a lexeme or a collo-

cation. In the latter case, we have a recursive definition, leading to collocations of more than two units, such as *weapons of mass destruction*.

Token	Univ. tag	Fips tag	Lemma	Gramm. function/Valency	Collocation
Critical	ADJ	ADJ	critical		
opinions	NOUN	NOUN-COM-PLU	opinion	SUBJ	
are	VERB	VERB-AUX-IND-PRE-3-PLU	be		
mainly	ADV	ADV	mainly		
voiced	VERB	VERB-PPA-PASSIVE	voice	DO:opinions	to voice an opinion
against	ADP	PREP	against	ADJUNCT	
the	DET	DET-DEF-PLU	the		
practical	ADJ	ADJ	practical		
aspects	NOUN	NOUN-COM-PLU	aspect		
of	ADP	PREP	of		
the	DET	DET-DEF-SIN	the		
implementation	NOUN	NOUN-COM-SIN	implementation		
of	ADP	PREP	of		
the	DET	DET-DEF-PLU	the		
objectives	NOUN	NOUN-COM-PLU	objective		
		PUNC			

Token	Univ. tag	Fips tag	Lemma	Gramm. function/Valency	Collocation
Conclusions	NOUN	NOUN-COM-PLU	conclusion	SUBJ	
will	VERB	VERB-MOD-FUT-3-PLU	will		
also	ADV	ADV	also		
be	VERB	VERB-AUX-INF	be		
drawn	VERB	VERB-PPA-PASSIVE	draw	DO:conclusions PrepO:disasters	to draw conclusion
from	ADP	PREP	from	PrepO	
past	ADJ	ADJ	past	_	
natural	ADJ	ADJ	natural		
disasters	NOUN	NOUN-COM-PLU	disaster		natural disaster
		PUNC			

Figure 1: Two samples of tagged output. For reasons of space, we grouped together columns 5 (grammatical functions) and 6 (verb valencies).

spect to the figures reported in Petrov et al. (2012) using the same tagset.

5. Related resources and availability

While the SwissAdmin corpus is not the only one available for the given languages, it differs in various ways from several related resources:

- Europarl (Koehn, 2005) is a very large parallel corpus that is also available in the four languages covered by SwissAdmin. However, it is of a slightly different genre (Parliament proceedings). While several subsets of it have been annotated for various purposes, there is no canonical annotation available for all languages covered by Europarl.
- The WaCky collection (Baroni et al., 2009) contains large amounts of POS-tagged text from the Web in the four SwissAdmin languages. However, its data sources are much more diverse, and the resulting text is noisier.
- **Text+Berg** (Göhring and Volk, 2011) is a German– French parallel corpus of mountaineering reports, part of which has been annotated as a parallel treebank. It also contains a small amount of Italian data.

 The Allegra corpus (Scherrer and Cartoni, 2012) has been extracted from a similar data source of press releases, but covers the Swiss minority language Romansh in addition to German and Italian. It has not been annotated.

Some of its characteristics make the SwissAdmin corpus particularly appealing for NLP research. For instance, it is one of the rare parallel texts of the news genre, which happens to be the genre mostly used in treebanks, and hence for training parsers. Also, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first multilingual corpus containing collocation annotations.

To conclude, SwissAdmin is a new multilingual corpus, freely available in three versions: a cleaned unannotated version, a sentence-aligned version and a POS-tagged version.⁵

The SwissAdmin corpus can be freely downloaded from our website www.latl.unige.ch/swissadmin.

⁵The copyright of the source texts remains the property of the Swiss Confederation, as stated on http://www.disclaimer.admin.ch/terms_and_conditions.html.

6. References

- Baroni, M., Bernardini, S., Ferraresi, A., and Zanchetta, E. (2009). The WaCky wide web: A collection of very large linguistically processed web-crawled corpora. *Language Resources and Evaluation*, 43(3):209–226.
- Göhring, A. and Volk, M. (2011). The Text+Berg corpus: An alpine French-German parallel resource. In *Proceedings of Traitement Automatique des Langues Naturelles* (*TALN*) 2011, Montpellier, France.
- Koehn, P., Hoang, H., Birch, A., Callison-Burch, C., Federico, M., Bertoldi, N., Cowan, B., Shen, W., Moran, C., Zens, R., Dyer, C., Bojar, O., Constantin, A., and Herbst, E. (2007). Moses: Open source toolkit for statistical machine translation. In *Proceedings of the ACL 2007 demonstration session*.
- Koehn, P. (2005). Europarl: A parallel corpus for statistical machine translation. In *Proceedings of MT Summit* 2005
- Petrov, S., Das, D., and McDonald, R. (2012). A universal part-of-speech tagset. In *Proceedings of LREC 2012*, Istanbul, Turkey.
- Scherrer, Y. and Cartoni, B. (2012). The trilingual AL-LEGRA corpus: Presentation and possible use for lexicon induction. In *Proceedings of LREC 2012*, Istanbul, Turkey.
- Seretan, V. (2011). *Syntax-Based Collocation Extraction*. Springer.
- Varga, D., Németh, L., Halácsy, P., Kornai, A., Trón, V., and Nagy, V. (2005). Parallel corpora for medium density languages. In *Proceedings of RANLP 2005*, pages 590–596.
- Wehrli, E. and Nerima, L. (2013). Sentence analysis and collocation identification. In *Proceedings of the Workshop on Multiword Units in Machine Translation and Translation Technology, MT Summit XIV*, pages 12–17, Nice, France.
- Wehrli, E. and Nerima, L. (2014). The Fips multilingual parser. In Gala, N., Rapp, R., and Bel, G., editors, *Festschrift in honour of Michael Zock*. Springer.
- Wehrli, E., Seretan, V., and Nerima, L. (2010). Anaphora resolution, collocations and translation. In *Proceedings* of the Workshop on Multiword Expressions: from Theory to Applications (MWE 2010), pages 27–35, Beijing, China.
- Wehrli, E. (2007). Fips, a deep linguistic multilingual parser. In *Proceedings of the ACL 2007 Workshop on Deep Linguistic Parsing*, pages 120–127, Prague, Czech Republic.