The Le*fff* ,
a freely available and large-coverage morphological and syntactic lexicon for French

Benoît Sagot
Alpage, INRIA & Université Paris 7, France

Outline

1. Introduction: the Le*fff* and the other Alexina lexicons
2. Brief description of the Alexina framework
3. Sources of lexical information in the Le*fff*
4. Evaluation of the Le*fff*
5. Future work

I. Introduction:
the Le*fff* and the other Alexina lexicons

Context

- Many NLP tasks benefit from rich and large-coverage lexical information
  - morphological information is relevant for POS-tagging
  - syntactic information is relevant for parsing
- Such lexical information is not always freely available, even for major languages such as French

The Alexina framework

- Alexina is a framework for modeling and acquiring lexical information at the morphological and syntactic levels (valency…)
- Alexina lexicon for French: the Le*fff* (Lexique des formes fléchies du français)
- The Le*fff* is used in various tools:
  - morphological info: POS taggers, lemmatizers…
  - morphological and syntactic info: parsers for various formalisms (LTAG, LFG, IG, Pre-group grammars…)

Alexina lexicons

- Several other Alexina lexicons already exist:
  - large-scale morphological + syntactic lexicon: Spanish (Le*ff*e, ongoing work)
  - large-scale morphological lexicons: Polish, Persian (PerLex), Galician (Le*ff*ga), English
  - medium- or small-scale morphological lexicons: Slovak, SoraLex (Sorani Kurdish)
  - imported (morph.) lexicons (Morph-it, Alpino)
- All Alexina lexicons are freely available (LGPL-LR)

2. Brief description of the Alexina framework

A two-level architecture

- Intensional level: inflection class + "initial" sub-categorization frame + list of possible redistributions
  - one entry for each sense of each lemma
  - manually or semi-automatically developed
- Extensional level:
  - one entry for each inflected form and each redistribution of each intensional entry
  - generated automatically from intensional entries
  - used in NLP tools

An example

Intensional entry:

clarifier$_1$ v-er:std Lemma;v;
<Suj : cln | scompl | sinf | sn, Obj : (cla | scompl | sn)>;
%actif,%passif,
%se_moyen_impersonnel,%passif_impersonnel,
%ppp_employé_comme_adj

Extensional entry:

clarifiés v [pred='clarifier$_1$
<Suj : cln | scompl | sn, Obl2 : (par-sn)>',
@passif,@pers,@Kmp]; Kmp %passif

The morphological level

- Each intensional entry is associated with an inflection class
- Inflection classes are defined as follows
  - a list of forms defined by a prefix and a suffix + a morphological tag
  - *sandhi* patterns (e.g., mang_ons → mange_ons)
  - tables and forms may be constrained by regular expressions on the stem

Example

```
<table name="v-er" canonical_tag="W" rads="...*">
  <form suffix="er" tag="W"/>
  <form suffix="a" tag="J3s"/>
  <form suffix="ai" tag="J1s"/>
  <alt>
    <form suffix="2e" tag="PS13s" rads="..*[td]" var="dbl"/>
    <form suffix="e" tag="PS13s" var="std"/>
  </alt>
...


      <sandhi source="et_2e$" target="ett_e$"/>
      <sandhi source="[:ou:]y_e$" target="[:ou:]i_e$"/>
```

The syntactic level

- At the intensional level: initial sub-categorization frame + redistributions (mappings from initial to final sub-categorization frames)
  - w.r.t. the lexical rules approach, the difference is that it is a one-shot mapping — whereas lexical rules may be applied sequentially
  - Subcategorization frame = for each argument:
    - its syntactic function
    - its possible realizations (syntagmatic + clitic)

Example

<Suj : cln | scompl | sinf | sn, Obj : (cla | scompl | sn)>

%passif

%passif = {Only PastParticiple}
+ {Macros @pers} + {Macros @passive}
+ {Suj < Obj[cla>cln, de-sinf > sinf, seréfl > , seréc >]}
+ {Suj)(} + {Obl2 (par-sn)}
+ ?{@CtrlSuj.* } + ?{@CtrlObjObjà @CtrlSujObjà}
+ ?{@CtrlObjObjde @CtrlSujObjde} + ?{@CtrlObj.* }

<Suj : cln | scompl | sn, Obl2 : (par-sn)>
@passif,@pers,@Kmp

3. Sources of lexical information in the Le*fff*

Automatic acquisition techniques

- *always followed by manual validation*
- statistical techniques for extracting morphological entries from raw corpora (Clément et al., 2004; Sagot, 2005)
- automatic acquisition of specific syntactic information (Sagot, 2006)

Error mining techniques

- *manual correction and extension guided by automatic techniques*
- simple statistics on tagged corpora for detecting missing entries (Molinero et al., 2009)
- error mining in parsing results for correcting the syntactic information (Sagot and de La Clergerie, 2006)
- manual mining of the output of Le*fff*-based NLP tools (parsers, taggers, tokenizers, spell checkers…)

Comparison and merging with other resources (1/2)

- *preliminary linguistic analysis of specific phenomena and their modeling in one or several other resources*
  - Lexicon-Grammar tables (Gross, 1975), Dicovalence (van den Eynde & Mertens, 2006), Lexique des Verbes Français (Dubois & Dubois-Charlier, 1997)
- conversion into the Alexina representation
- merging with the Le*fff*

Comparison and merging with other resources (2/2)

- This approach was applied to various classes of entries and/or phenomena such as:
  - impersonal constructions (Sagot and Danlos, 2008), pronominal constructions (Danlos and Sagot, 2008)
  - verbs in *-iser* and *-ifier* (Sagot and Fort, 2009)
  - several classes of frozen verbal expressions (Danlos et al., 2006)
  - adverbs in *-ment* (Sagot and Fort, 2007)

4. Evaluation of the Le*fff*

Quantitative comparison with other resources

Number of unique lemmas per category

Category	Lefff	Morphalou	Multext	Dicovalence
verbs	6,825	8,789	4,782	3,729
nouns	37,530	59,002*	18,495	0
adjectives	10,483	22,739	5,934	0
adverbs	3,584	1,579	1,044	0
prepositions	225	(51)	117	0

The Le*fff* for POS tagging

- MElt POS tagger (Denis & Sagot, 2009, 2010)
- MaxEnt-based tagger
  - contextual features
  - surface features extracted from the words
  - possibility to add lexical features
- Using the Le*fff* as a source of lexical features increases the accuracy from 97,25% up to 97,75% (state-of-the-art)

The Le*fff* for parsing

- FRMG parser (LTAG, generated from a metagrammar)
  (Thomasset & de La Clergerie, 2005; de La Clergerie 2010)
  - Based on the Le*fff* (esp. syntactic information)
- Lexicon-Grammar tables are considered a highly valuable syntactic resource (Gross 1975)
- These tables were converted in the Alexina format
  (Tolone & Sagot 2009)
- Evaluation according to the EASy metrics and corpus:
  59,9% on "relations" with the Le*fff* vs. 56,6% with the converted Lexicon-Grammar tables

5. Future work

Future work on the Le*fff*

- Ongoing work on a new version of the verbal part
- Sub-categorization information for predicative nouns and adjectives
- Studying new phenomena for merging lexical information with other resources
- Semantic information, in the form of a mapping with the WOLF (Wordnet Libre du Français) (Sagot & Fišer 2008)

Future work on other Alexina lexicons

- Le*ff*e (Spanish) and Le*ff*ga (Galician): the Victoria project (Nicolas et al., 2010)
- PerLex (Persian): the PerGram project (Samvelian & Müller)
- EnLex (English): exploitation of existing syntactic resources
- resource-scarce languages (SoraLex: Sorani Kurdish, Le*ff*ga: *Galician*): work on developing lexical resources for related languages

All Alexina lexicons are freely available (LGPL-LR):
`alexina.gforge.inria.fr`
or `gforge.inria.fr/projects/alexina/`
(use the subversion repository, or the `tgz` packages)

What you need:

– "alexina-tools": the set of tools for compiling the intensional lexicon into the extensional one
– the lexicon proper

All Alexina lexicons are freely available (LGPL-LR):
`alexina.gforge.inria.fr`
or `gforge.inria.fr/projects/alexina/`
(use the subversion repository, or the `tgz` packages)

What you need:

– "alexina-tools": the set of tools for compiling the intensional lexicon into the extensional one
– the lexicon proper
– my email, in case of problems:
`benoit.sagot@inria.fr`